



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,819	319 11/02/2001		Rene Bongers	09669/006001	09669/006001 4515	
22511	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER		
OSHA LIA 1221 MCKIN			TIEU, BEN	TIEU, BENNY QUOC		
SUITE 2800		KEL I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON,	TX 770	10	2642			

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/913,819	BONGERS, RENE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Benny Q. Tieu	2642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Se	entember 2005						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 September 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
· /=							
<del>,</del>	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	x panto quayro, 1000 c.b. 11, 10						
· _							
	Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-17 and 19-27 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-17 and 19-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian rasuirament						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)		·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/6/5.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims <u>1,3,4,6-17 and 19-27</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molne (U.S. Patent No. 5,999,811) in view of Hokkanen (U.S. Patent No. 5,675,628).

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Molne teaches a method for identifying a subscriber in a first and a second telecommunication network, wherein the subscriber possesses a mobile telephone station having a subscriber identification module, said subscriber identification module comprising means to be identified on the first telecommunication network under a first identity, as well as means to be identified on the second telecommunication network under a second identity, said method comprising:

defining the first telecommunication network as a priority with respect to the second telecommunication network (column 3, lines 1-20);

identifying the subscriber identification module on the first telecommunication network under the first identity (column 8, lines 1-3);

checking to see whether the mobile telephone station is inside a coverage field of the first telecommunication network when the subscriber identification module is currently identified on Application/Control Number: 09/913,819 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

the second telecommunications network, said checking being made by regularly re-initializing the mobile telephone station (column 3, lines 21-32); and

automatically identifying the subscriber identification module (SIM) on the first telecommunication network under the first identity when the mobile telephone station is in the coverage field of the first telecommunication network (column 4, lines 16-35).

Molne does not explicitly teach that the SIM is identified by a certain telephone network under a certain identity. However, it would have been obvious that the mobile telephone station with a SIM has to have an identity in order for the certain network to identify the station. For example, Hokkanen teaches a method and apparatus for enabling roaming of subscriber among plural mobile radio systems, using mobile equipment accepting removable subscriber identity module in which identification of a mobile subscriber is based on a SIM card in a GSM system (column 5, lines 9-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of a certain identity of a SIM to identify a mobile station on a certain telephone network taught by Hokkanen into the method disclosed by Molne in order to easily allow temporary mobile subscriber identity roaming between different mobile radio systems.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 6-16 and 19-27, see column 4, line 15 to column 5, line 3 and column 5, line 61 to column 7, line 39.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed April 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Molne does not teach or suggest the SIM switching subscriber

Application/Control Number: 09/913,819 Page 4

Art Unit: 2642

identities depending on the network to which the mobile telephone is connected. However, Examiner points out that column 8, lines 1-3, Molne teaches that the IMSI is used both for the mobile station to identify its home PLMN and for the mobile to transmit as its own identity. Therefore, Examiner believes, for the roaming selection purposes, the mobile station, inherently or at least obvious, automatically switches to a different identity in SIM based on a priority list of networks as further taught by Molne (column 7, lines 40-64).

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benny Q. Tieu whose telephone number is 571-272-7490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 6:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

BENNY TIEU PRIMARY EXAMINER

BQT September 30, 2005